Approved For Release 200 1703/26 CIA RDP 85B00286R000200100004-8

9 August 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: ICRC Symposium Attendees

25X1A

FROM:

Chief, Information Systems Analysis Staff

SUBJECT:

ICRC Symposium - 15 August 1978

Transportation has been arranged for the 15 August ICRC Symposium to be held at HEW. A Metro bus will be at the Main Entrance of Headquarters building to pick up all attendees. A 0730 departure is scheduled. The same bus will depart HEW at 1615 returning to Headquarters.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2001/03/26: CIA-RDP85B0626R000200100004-8

9 August 1978

NFAC Permanent Records through 1960 Unless Annotated

	V	0 L	U M	E
Office and/or Program	<u>Cu ft.</u>	Pages	Doc	
ONE/NIO	150	300,000	60,000	- 5 pgs.
NIS - Entire Collection thru 1974	162	136,080	6,804	- 20 pgs.
OCR - Managerial OCR - Biographic Rpts. OCR - Indexes OCR - Foreign Rpts. OCR - Motion Picture Film OCR - All Other	50 75 75 300 350 800	100,000 150,000 150,000 600,000	75,000 3,000 75,000 2,000	- 3 pgs 2 pgs 50 pgs 8 pgs. reels not title - 5 pgs.
Remainder NFAC	1052	2,104,000	420,000	,
Total NFAC	3014	5,140,080	995,937	
DDO Reports in OCR Holdings	3386 ^{L3}	ask DDO	ask DDO	
Intellofax Cards - Index to DDO Rpts.	1000			

 $[\]underline{1}$ / This cannot be reported by years. Reports are filed together by area or subject.

I'm skeptical of this figure. For the most part it contains information not originated by OCR but deposited by them because they felt - or were told-they had deposit responsibility. It duplicates to a large extent the finished intelligence collections deposited by the offices. I think 200 cu. ft. may be even a little high.

Approved For Release 2001/03/26: CIA-RDP85B06236R000200100004-8

This indicates hard copy DDO reports transferred to OCR custody during the recent DDO records review. The same reports may or may not be included in other OCR deposits represented in the 800 figure above. The question of who has responsibility for these DDO reports is presently under review. Hopefully, the question will be resolved in the near future. In the meantime, these figures are not to be considered part of the NFAC holdings.

Mark:

This records situation is further complicated by the fact that portions of the OCR holdings are in microfilm. There is no way that a separate figure can be broken out for you on this at this time. It may well be that our records review may eliminate most if not all of the microfilm.

I do feel you have enough here to satisfy your present needs. It must be emphasized that the information is less than certifiably accurate and will remain so until we have finished our review in late 79 or early 80.

Again, in my opinion these figures lean toward the high rather than the low side of what the situation will actually turn out to be.

25X1A

NFAC Records Management Officer

Approved For Release 2001/03/26: CIA-RDP85B08236R000200100004-8

9 August 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: DDS&T Records Holdings

The following figures on DDS&T's estimated holdings of

STATINTL permanent records were given OSO - 243 cubic feet STATSPEC 230 ODE - 1 OTS - 387 OSA - 70 NPIC - 190 ORD -___0 1,121 STATINTL

Approved For Release 2001/03/26: CIA-RDP85B00236R000200100004-8

9 August 1978

STATINTL STATINTL NOTE TO:

FROM:

SUBJECT: Statistics on Reviewer Production

STATINTL

- 1. With the indispensible assistance of the following Robert Macnamaraesque statistics were compiled.
- 2. Between 27 April and 28 June, 38,646 SO documents totalling 66,664 pages were reviewed. During this period there were 44 working days totalling 352 staff hours. The activity of 15 reviewers working full-time was used in calculations and during this period most RRB effort was devoted to the SO reports. The loss of four reviewers (from 19) was assumed to compensate for leave, training, vacations, etc.
- 3. On the basis of calculations, Mac and I came up with the following statistics:
 - (a) 60 documents and 100 pages per reviewer per day;
 - (b) 1,320 documents and 2,200 pages per reviewer per month.
- 4. This would calculate out to be one foot per reviewer per month, using the NARS statistic of 2,500 pages equalling one cubic foot. For intelligence records I think that this would be about right.



STATINTL